

From: Turner.Kevin@epamail.epa.gov
To: [Tom Binz](#)
Subject: Fw: Ground Water Sampling and Analysis Plan
Date: Tuesday, June 15, 2010 12:43:10 PM

From: Kevin Turner/R5/USEPA/US
To: asalhotra@ramgp.com, wshifrin@shifrinandassociates.com
Cc: STEVEN FARYAN/R5/USEPA/US@EPA, Michelle Majack/R5/USEPA/US, Chris.Cahnovsky@illinois.gov, tbinz@pe-engrs.com, Bob Veenstra., Brian Barwick/R5/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 07/02/2009 11:16 AM
Subject: Ground Water Sampling and Analysis Plan

Atul Salhotra:

The U.S EPA received your revised draft Quarterly Ground Water Sampling and Gauging Plan on June 24, 2009. This plan was originally submitted on June 8, 2009 and based upon your presentation on June 10, 2009 and an e-mail from me on June 18, 2009, revisions were requested and subsequently made. Though the review of the two submitted plans revealed several minor differences, our comments will be limited to the major concerns related to ground water sampling and gauging.

The U.S. EPA approves this plan with the following modifications and/or qualifications:

1. Metal analysis may be dropped for all metal constituents except for total and dissolved lead and arsenic.
2. Please identify additional wells that might be sampled and/or gauged in the event that access is not available at the time the crew is performing the work. This requirement is needed due the significant reduction of the total number of wells to be sampled and/or gauged and one of the stated objectives to evaluate flow direction.
3. Though the Sampling and Gauging Plan does not address the frequency of future sampling events (Appendix A does address this issue), the U.S. EPA believes that sampling and gauging may be performed on a semi-annual basis. This reduction of sampling/gauging does not include the Sentinel Wells which are still required to be sampled quarterly. After this sampling event, please discuss a proposed semi-annual schedule for future sampling/gauging with the Agencies.
4. The gauging list presented in Table 1b may be subject to change. Specifically, the Agencies will be reviewing the Apex ground water flow maps to assess if the reduction in gauging points still presents the flow contours correctly. If there are significant changes, the U.S. EPA may require additional wells be gauged.
5. The table below are the monitoring wells that are approved and are to be included for sampling

Well# Location and Purpose for Sampling	Screened Interval	ROST Data	Soil Analysis	Additional Comments
MP-81A Western Boundary Well	5-7 ft bgs.	Not available	HMW41 BTEX present @ 10-16	-Not sure why never sampled - Included in gauging -May be able to drop in future

			ft.bgs.	
MP-89A Southern Boundary Well	5-9 ft. bgs.	ROST 51 BTEX @ 1-5 ft. bgs. 10-20 ft. bgs 25-35 ft. bgs	Not available	
HMW-49B Northern Boundary Well	23.1-23.8 ft. bgs.	ROST 61 BTEX @ 30-35 ft. bgs.	HMW-49 BTEX present @ 7-9 ft. bgs.	
HMW-49A Northern Boundary Well	11-13 ft. bgs.	ROST 61 BTEX@ 30-35 ft. bgs.	HMW-49 BTEX present @ 7-9 ft. bgs.	-Not sure why never sampled - Included in gauging -May be able to drop in future
MP-92C Western Boundary Well	16-20 ft. bgs.	ROST 74 BTEX @ 18- 38 ft. bgs.	Not available	
HMW-49C Northern Boundary Well	29-39 ft. bgs.	ROST 61 BTEX@ 30-35 ft. bgs.		
HMW-39A Western Boundary Well	13-20 ft. bgs.	ROST 13 BTEX @ 17-20 ft. bgs 25-40 ft. bgs	HMW-39 BTEX present @ 6-15 ft/ bgs @ 30 ft. bgs.	
HMW-39B Western Boundary Well	22-29 ft. bgs.	ROST 13 BTEX @ 17-20 ft. bgs 25-40 ft. bgs	HMW-39 BTEX @6-15 ft. bgs @ 30 ft. bgs.	
HMW-52B Southeastern Boundary Well	22-26 ft. bgs.	ROST 67 No BTEX	HMW-52 BTEX @10-12 ft. bgs @14-16 ft. bgs	
MP-81B Western	14-18 ft. bgs.	ROST 74 BTEX@	Not Available	

Boundary Well		18-20 ft. bgs 21-37 ft. bgs		
HMW – 25 Sentinel Well				
HMW-26 Sentinel Well				
HMW-27 Sentinel Well				
HMW-28 Sentinel Well				
HMW-29 Sentinel Well				
HMW-39C Western Boundary Well	32-41 ft. bgs.	ROST 13 BTEX @ 17-20 ft. bgs 25-40 ft. bgs	HMW-39 BTEX @ 6-15 ft/ bgs @ 30 ft. bgs.	
HMW-40C Western Boundary Well	24-38 ft. bgs.	ROST 19 BTEX @ 29-36 ft. bgs.	HMW-40 BTEX @ 22-24 ft. bgs	
HMW-49D Northern Boundary Well	41-50 ft. bgs.	ROST 61 BTEX@ 30-35 ft. bgs.	HMW-49 BTEX present @ 7-9 ft. bgs.	
MP-81C Western Boundary Well	17-32 ft. bgs.	ROST 74 BTEX@ 18-20 ft. bgs 21-37 ft. bgs	Not Available	
MP-89C Southern Boundary Well	23-27 ft. bgs	ROST 51 BTEX @ 1-5 ft. bgs. 10-20 ft. bgs 25-35 ft. bgs	Not available	
MP-92D Western Boundary Well	23-37 ft. bgs.	ROST 74 BTEX @ 18- 38 ft. bgs.	Not available	

At this time the U.S. EPA agrees to drop the general chemistry and natural attenuation parameters listed in Appendix A. It is suggested that these parameters provide valuable information when

designing remediation systems. The U.S. EPA reminds Apex that when considering future ground water remediation, please include how these parameters may affect a ground water clean-up. Please call me if there are any questions with the above information.

Kevin Turner
U.S. EPA
(618) 525-3665 (cell)